arXiv:1211.6514v1 [math.AC] 28 Nov 2012

THE POINCARÉ SERIES OF MODULES OVER GENERIC ARTINIAN GORENSTEIN ALGEBRAS OF EVEN SOCLE DEGREE

MARIA EVELINA ROSSI AND LIANA M. ŞEGA

Abstract. Let $Q = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be the power series ring over a field k. Artinian Gorenstein quotients $R = Q/I$ whose unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0 = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$ are in correspondence via the Macaulay inverse system with degree s polynomials in n variables. Bøgvad constructed examples for which the Poincaré series of k over R is irrational. When s is even, we prove that such examples are rare. More precisely, if s is even and the inverse polynomial of R satisfies a generic condition, we prove that the Poincaré series of all finitely generated R-modules are rational, sharing a common denominator. We determine explicitly the denominator and the Poincaré series of k.

Introduction

We study minimal free resolutions of finitely generated modules M over a commutative Noetherian local ring R with residue field k by means of the *Poincaré series* $\mathrm{P}^R_M(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \mathrm{rank}_k(\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(M,k))z^i$.

Our goal is to understand when this formal power series represents a rational function. The problem has a long history. It was an open question, attributed to Kaplansky and Serre, whether $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)$ is rational. Gulliksen (1972) proved that the rationality of $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)$ for all rings R also implies the rationality of $\mathrm{P}^R_M(z)$ for all M.

Anick (1982) proved that the answer to the Kaplansky-Serre question is generally negative. However, counterexamples do not seem to abound. One may naively ask: given a random ring R, what are the chances that $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)$ is irrational? We believe they are rather small. In this paper we provide strong evidence for such an answer.

A formulation of the problem in the language of algebraic geometry is: *Does* $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)$ *represent a rational function when R is generic?* To give meaning to the notion of 'generic', one needs to specify some geometric objects that parametrize the rings of a certain family. In the case of Artinian Gorenstein algebras, such a parametrization is given by the so-called *Macaulay inverse system.*

Let k be a field and let $R = Q/I$ be an Artinian Gorenstein quotient of the power series ring $Q = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$. We denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ the unique maximal ideal of R. The *socle degree* (or *Loewy length*) of R is the integer s satisfying $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0 = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$. If n and s are fixed, then the algebras R are in correspondence with polynomials of degree s in n variables. The initial form of the polynomial can be viewed, up to multiplication by scalars, as a point in projective space. We use the terminology of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02 (primary), 13A02, 13D07 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Generic Gorenstein ring, Poincaré series, Golod map, DGΓ algebra.

M.E. R. was supported by PRA grant 2011 and MSRI Research Membership, Fall 2012 and L.M.Ş. by NSF grant DMS-1101131 and Simons Foundation grant 20903. This work was partly accomplished while the M.E. R. was a member and L.M. Ş. was a visitor at MSRI, Berkeley.

generic Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s and embedding dimension n to refer to those algebras that correspond to points in some non-empty Zariski-open subset of the projective space; this set will be defined explicitly in the text. Such algebras are *compressed*, meaning that $\operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}) = \min\left\{\binom{n-1+s-i}{n-1}, \binom{n-1+i}{n-1}\right\}$ for all i.

Set $H_R(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1})z^i$, the *Hilbert series* of R. We prove:

Main Theorem. *Let n, s be integers such that $s \geq 2$ is even. Let R be a generic Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s and embedding dimension n. For every finitely generated R-module M there exists a polynomial $p_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that*

$$\mathrm{P}^M_R(z) = \frac{p_M(z)}{d_R(z)}\,,$$

where

$$d_R(z) = 1 + z^{n+2} + (-z)^{-\frac{s-2}{2}} \cdot \left(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\right)$$

is a polynomial of degree $n+2$, depending only on n and s. Furthermore,

$$p_k(z) = (1+z)^n\,.$$

If R satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, then its associated graded ring R^{g} with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ satisfies the hypothesis, as well. As a consequence, we obtain $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) = \mathrm{P}^{R^{\mathrm{g}}}_k(z)$. This equality is somewhat unexpected, since R and R^{g} are in general not analytically isomorphic, cf. Elias and Rossi [8].

In the case $s = 2$ our result is subsumed by results of Sjödin [22], which hold more generally, for all Gorenstein rings R of socle degree 2. When $n \leq 4$, more general results hold as well: Jacobsson *et. al.* [19] and Avramov *et. al.* [2] prove that all Gorenstein rings of emedding dimension at most 4 have rational Poincaré series. On the other hand, Bøgvad [5] constructed examples of Gorenstein rings with $s = 3$ and $n \geq 12$ which have irrational Poincaré series; this indicates that a generic condition is generally needed.

There exists also some work towards understanding the odd socle degree case: When $s = 3$, Henriques and Şega [15] prove a statement similar to our Main Theorem, using a generic condition imported from work of Conca *et. al.* [7]. Outside the family of Gorenstein rings, results regarding the rationality of $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)$ for generic rings R are known in the case of graded quadratic algebras; in this case, the generic condition involves an open subset of a Grassmanian space; see Conca [6] and Fröberg and Löfwall [12].

To prove our result, we first rely in Section 1 on the Macaulay correspondence machinery to establish the existence of elements in R with some good properties. We then use these elements to define a hypersurface ring that maps onto R. We prove in Section 2 that this map is Golod, using techniques inspired by Avramov *et. al.* [4]. Results of Levin recorded in [2] give then the rationality of all Poincaré series. The formula for $d_R(z)$ is derived in Section 3.

1. Macaulay correspondence

In this section we establish a structural result, Corollary 1.11, regarding *generic* Artinian Gorenstein algebras of even socle degree. The structural properties established here allow us to apply the results of the subsequent sections to this class of rings. The Macaulay inverse system is used to give a precise meaning to the word "generic" and to perform necessary computations. Other ingredients include the use of the concept of *compressed algebra*, and results of Iarrobino [16].

We proceed with the needed background material and notation.

1.1. *The divided powers algebra.* Let $Q = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ be the power series ring in n variables over a field k. Let D be the free divided powers k-algebra with variables $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ of degree 1. The divided powers of a variable Y_i are denoted $Y_i^{(r)}$; by definition, $Y_i^{(1)} = Y_i$ and $Y_i^{(0)} = 1$. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is a multi-index, we set

$$Y^{(\alpha)} = Y_1^{(\alpha_1)} \dots Y_n^{(\alpha_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$$

Multiplication in D is defined by extending linearly the rule:

$$Y^{(\alpha)} Y^{(\beta)} = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)!}{\alpha!\beta!} Y^{(\alpha+\beta)}$$

where $(\alpha+\beta)!/\alpha!\beta! = (\alpha_1+\beta_1)! \dots (\alpha_n+\beta_n)!/\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n! \beta_1! \dots \beta_n!$. One defines an action of Q on D by extending linearly the rule:

$$X^\alpha \circ Y^{(\beta)} = \begin{cases} Y^{(\beta-\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha \le \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the symbol $\le$ denotes the order operation of $\mathbb{N}^n$ induced element-wise by the natural order of $\mathbb{N}$.

We set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. If $j \ge 0$, let D_j denote the jth graded component of D. A k-basis of D_j consists of all monomials $Y^{(\alpha)}$ with $|\alpha| = j$, hence

$$\operatorname{rank}_k(D_j) = \binom{n-1+j}{n-1}.$$

1.2. *Basics of the Macaulay inverse system.* If $S \subseteq D$, we denote $\langle S \rangle$ the Q-submodule generated by S in D. The annihilator of $\langle S \rangle$ in Q is the ideal

$$\operatorname{ann}_Q(S) := \{g \in Q \mid \ g \circ f = 0 \ \text{ for } \ \text{ all } \ f \in S\}.$$

Conversely, for any ideal $I \subset Q$ we define the following Q-submodule of D

$$I^\perp := \{f \in D \mid I \circ f = 0\}.$$

This correspondence between ideals of Q and Q-submodules of D is called the *inverse system* of Macaulay, see [18] and [9] for more details.

Given a polynomial $f \in D$ of degree s, then

$$R_f := Q/\operatorname{ann}_Q(f)$$

is a local Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s. Conversely, if I is an ideal of Q such that $R = Q/I$ is an Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s, then $I^\perp$ is a cyclic Q-submodule of D. If $I^\perp = \langle f \rangle$, then $R = R_f$. In this way, the Macaulay inverse system gives a bijective correspondence between the class of Artinian Gorenstein quotients of Q of socle degree s and polynomials of degree s in D (up to the action of invertible elements of Q).

1.3. *The associated graded ring.* We denote by R^{g} the associated graded ring of a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, that is:

$$R^{\mathsf{g}} = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}.$$

Let f be a polynomial of degree s in D. We denote by F (the corresponding capital letter) the leading form of degree s in f, that is

$$f = F + \text{(lower degree terms)}\,.$$

Then R_F is the unique Gorenstein graded quotient of $(R_f)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of socle degree s, and $R_F = (R_f)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ whenever $(R_f)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is Gorenstein (or equivalently the Hilbert function of R is symmetric), see [17] and [8].

1.4. *Hilbert function.* If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a local ring and M is a finitely generated R-module, denote by $h_M(-)$ the Hilbert function of M, that is

$$h_M(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^i M/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} M)\,.$$

Set $\mathfrak{n} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, the maximal ideal of Q. Note that

$$h_Q(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{n}^i/\mathfrak{n}^{i+1}) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i) \quad \text{for each } i \geq 0.$$

If $R = Q/I$, we have $h_R(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{n}^i + I)/(\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I)$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + \mathfrak{n}^i \cap I)/\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} \to \mathfrak{n}^i/\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} \to (\mathfrak{n}^i + I)/(\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I) \to 0$$

shows that $h_R(i) \leq \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i)$ and

$$h_R(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i) \iff I \cap \mathfrak{n}^i \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{i+1}\,. \tag{1.4.1}$$

It follows that

$$I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^j \iff h_R(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i) \quad \text{for all } i < j\,. \tag{1.4.2}$$

1.5. *Hilbert function via the inverse system.* The Hilbert function of an Artinian algebra $R = Q/I$ can be expressed via the inverse system. We define the following k-vector space:

$$(I^\perp)_i := \frac{I^\perp \cap D_{\leq i} + D_{<i}}{D_{<i}}\,. \tag{1.5.1}$$

Then it is known, see for example [17] and [9], that

$$h_R(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(I^\perp)_i\,. \tag{1.5.2}$$

If $\underline{f} := f_1, \dots, f_a$ is a sequence of polynomials of D, then we write

$$R_{\underline{f}} = Q/\operatorname{ann}_Q(\underline{f})$$

where $\operatorname{ann}_Q(\underline{f}) = \cap_{j=1}^a \operatorname{ann}_Q(f_j)$. If $\underline{F} := F_1, \dots, F_a$ is a sequence of forms of D of degree s, then $\operatorname{ann}_Q(\underline{F})$ is an homogeneous ideal and for each $i \geq 0$ the equation (1.5.1) becomes:

$$h_{R_{\underline{F}}}(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(R_{\underline{F}})_i = \operatorname{rank}_k\langle \underline{F}\rangle_i \tag{1.5.3}$$

where $\langle \underline{F}\rangle_i$ is spanned as a k-vector space by $X^\alpha \circ F_j$, with $1 \leq j \leq a$ and $|\alpha| = s-i$.

1.6. *Compressed Artinian Gorenstein algebras.* Let F be a form of degree s in D and let i be an integer with $i \leq s$. Fix a monomial basis of D_{s-i} and let $\Delta^i(F)$ denote the matrix of size

$$\operatorname{rank}_k(D_{s-i}) \times \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i),$$

whose columns are the coordinates of $X^\alpha \circ F$, with α ranging over all multi-indices with $|\alpha| = i$. It is straight consequence of (1.5.3) that

$$\operatorname{rank}_k((R_F)_i) = \operatorname{rank}(\Delta^i(F)) \leq \min\{\operatorname{rank}_k(D_{s-i}), \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i)\}$$

for $i = 0, \cdots, s$. When equality holds, R_F is said to be *compressed*, see [16].

In general, a Gorenstein local ring R of socle degree s and embedding dimension n is said to be *compressed* if

$$h_R(i) = \min\left\{ \binom{n-1+s-i}{n-1}, \binom{n-1+i}{n-1} \right\}. \tag{1.6.1}$$

In [16, Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.8] Iarrobino proved that compressed Gorenstein local rings R have associated graded rings R^{g} which are compressed Gorenstein graded algebras; in particular if $R = R_f$ is compressed then $R^{\mathrm{g}} = R_F$. Conversely, if R_F is compressed, then R_f is compressed and $R_F = (R_f)^{\mathrm{g}}$.

Remark 1.7. Let $R_f = Q/I$ be a compressed Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s. If $s = 2t-2$ or $s = 2t-1$, then we have $h_R(j) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_j)$ for all $j \leq t-1$. Consequently, (1.4.2) gives $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. As noted above, the graded algebra R_F is also a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s, and thus $\operatorname{ann}_Q(F) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$, as well. In particular, we have $g \notin \operatorname{ann}_Q(F)$, and thus $g \circ F \neq 0$ for all nonzero polynomials $g \in Q$ with $\deg(g) < t$. It follows that

$$\deg(g \circ f) = s - i \quad \text{for all homogeneous } g \in Q \text{ with } \deg(g) = i < t. \tag{1.7.1}$$

1.8. $\mathfrak{m}$*-adic valuations.* Let $R = Q/I$ be an Artinian quotient of Q. Recall that $\mathfrak{n} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and set $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$.

We write $\overline{g}$ for the image in R of an element $g \in Q$. We say that $\overline{g}$ has *valuation* i in R and we write $v_R(\overline{g}) = i$ if $\overline{g} \in \mathfrak{m}^i \smallsetminus \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$.

Lemma. *Let $J \subseteq Q$ be an ideal and i an integer such that the following hold:*

1. $h_R(i) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i)$;
2. $h_{Q/J}(i) < \operatorname{rank}_k(D_i)$.

Then there exists $g \in J$ such that $v_R(\overline{g}) = i$.

Proof. Using (1.4.1), the hypothesis implies:

$$I \cap \mathfrak{n}^i \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad J \cap \mathfrak{n}^i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{i+1}.$$

Choose then $g \in J \cap \mathfrak{n}^i \smallsetminus \mathfrak{n}^{i+1}$. If $g \in (\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I)$, it follows that

$$g \in (\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I) \cap \mathfrak{n}^i = \mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I \cap \mathfrak{n}^i \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{i+1},$$

a contradiction. Thus $g \notin (\mathfrak{n}^{i+1} + I)$, showing that $\overline{g} \notin \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$. □

If $g \in R$ has $v_R(g) = p$, let g^* denote the image of g in $\mathfrak{m}^p/\mathfrak{m}^{p+1}$; we regard g^* as a homogeneous element of R^{g}. If $g_1, g_2 \in R$, then in R^{g} we have

$$g_1^* g_2^* = \begin{cases} (g_1 g_2)^* & \text{if } v_R(g_1) + v_R(g_2) = v_R(g_1 g_2); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.8.1}$$

If $f \in D$, we set:

$$f_{i_1 \dots i_t} := (X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_t}) \circ f .$$

We denote $x_1, \dots, x_n$ the images of the variables X_i in R.

The following proposition provides the relevant structural properties of the rings under investigation.

Proposition 1.9. *Let $R = R_f$ be a compressed Artinian Gorenstein algebra of even socle degree $s = 2t-2 \geq 2$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the set*

$$A_i : = \bigcap_{j \in I \smallsetminus \{i\}}^{n} (\operatorname{ann}_R(x_j)) \smallsetminus \operatorname{ann}_R(x_i^{t-1})$$

contains an element q_i with $v_R(q_i)=t-1$.

Assume further that there exist $j,l\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ with $j\neq l$ such that R_{f_j} is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s-1$ and $R_{f_{jl}}$ is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s-2$. For each $q\in A_j$ with $v_R(q)=t-1$, there exists then an element u with $v_R(u)=t-1$ such that

$$u\in \operatorname{ann}_R(x_j)\smallsetminus \operatorname{ann}(q)\,.$$

Proof. We write R as $R=Q/I$, where $I=\operatorname{ann}_Q(f)$. Let i with $1\le i\le n$. To simplify notation, we assume $i=1$.

Step 1. We set

$$J=\operatorname{ann}_Q(f_2,\dots,f_n)\,.$$

If $g\in Q$, then $\overline{g}\in\operatorname{ann}_R(x_j)$ if and only $gX_j\in I$. Recalling that $I=\operatorname{ann}_Q(f)$, this is equivalent to $(gX_j)\circ f=0$, and thus with $g\circ f_j=0$. Thus

$$g\in J\iff \overline{g}\in\operatorname{ann}_R(x_2,\dots,x_n)\,.$$

We prove that there exists $g\in J$ such that $v_R(\overline{g})=t-1$.

In view of Lemma 1.8, it suffices to show

$$h_{Q/J}(t-1)<\operatorname{rank}_k(D_{t-1})\,. \tag{1.9.1}$$

The left hand side of (1.9.1) is equal to $\operatorname{rank}_k\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}$, cf. (1.5.1) and (1.5.2), where

$$\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}=\frac{\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle\cap D_{\le t-1}+D_{<t-1}}{D_{<t-1}}\,.$$

Assume that $\operatorname{rank}_k\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}=\operatorname{rank}_k(D_{t-1})$. Since R is compressed, we also have $h_R(t-1)=\operatorname{rank}_k\langle f\rangle_{t-1}=\operatorname{rank}_k(D_{t-1})$. Thus equalities must hold below:

$$\langle f\rangle_{t-1}=\langle f_1,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}\supseteq\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}\,,$$

hence $\langle f\rangle_{t-1}=\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle_{t-1}$. It follows that

$$X_1^{t-1}\circ f\in\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle\cap D_{\le t-1}+D_{<t-1}\,.$$

The elements in $\langle f_2,\dots,f_n\rangle\cap D_{\le t-1}$ are generated by elements of the form

$$X^\alpha\circ f_j=X^\alpha X_j\circ f\quad\text{ with } j\ge 2 \text{ and } |\alpha|\le s-1-(t-1)=t-2.$$

If α and j are as above, note that $X^\alpha X_j\neq X_1^{t-1}$. It follows that there exists a nonzero polynomial $h\in Q$ of degree $t-1$ such that $h\circ f\in D_{<t-1}$.

We decompose h into homogeneous components: $h=h_0+h_1+\cdots+h_{t-1}$, where $h_i=0$ or $\deg(h_i)=i$. By Remark 1.7, we have $\deg(h_i\circ f)=s-i$ for each i with $h_i\neq 0$. Let i_0 be the smallest i with $h_i\neq 0$. Then

$$\deg(h\circ f)=\deg(h_{i_0}\circ f)=s-i_0\ge 2t-2-(t-1)=t-1\,,$$

a contradiction. This shows that there exists $g\in J$ with $v_R(\overline{g})=t-1$.

Step 2. Set $q=\overline{g}$, where g satisfies the conclusion of Step 1. We prove now that $qx_1^{t-1}\neq 0$. To do so, we prove by induction the following statement: For any j with $0\le j\le t-1$ one has $q^*(x_1^*)^j\neq 0$ (and in particular $q(x_1)^j\neq 0$).

First, we recall that $v_R(q)=t-1$. Note that $v_R(x_1^{j-1})=j-1$ for any j with $j\le t$ and in particular $(x_1^{j-1})^*=(x_1^*)^{j-1}$. Indeed, the inequality $v_R(x_1^{j-1})>j-1$ implies $x_1^{j-1}\in\mathfrak{m}^j$, and thus yields a contradiction:

$$X_1^{j-1}\in\mathfrak{n}^j+I\subseteq\mathfrak{n}^j$$

where the inclusion is due to the fact that $j \leq t$, which implies $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^j$, due to Remark 1.7.

For the base case of the induction, we need to show $q^* x_1^* \neq 0$. Assume that $q^* x_1^* = 0$. Since $q x_i = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$, we also have $q^* x_i^* = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. In particular, $q^* \in (0 : \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{g}})$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $R^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Recall that $R^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is Gorenstein of socle degree s, since R is compressed. It follows thus that $q^* \in (\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{g}})^s$ and thus $q \in \mathfrak{m}^s$. This is impossible, since $v_R(q) = t-1$ and $t-1 < s$.

Let now j with $1 \leq j \leq t-1$ and assume that $q^*(x_1^*)^{j-1} \neq 0$. Assume that $q^*(x_1^*)^j = 0$. As above, since $q^* x_i^* = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$, it follows that $q^*(x_1^{j-1})^* = q^*(x_1^*)^{j-1} \in (0 : \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{g}}) = (\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{g}})^s$ and thus $v_R(q x_1^{j-1}) = s$. However, notice that:

$$v_R(q) + v_R(x_1^{j-1}) = t-1+j-1 \leq t-1+t-2 = 2t-3 < s = v_R(q x_1^{j-1})$$

and this contradicts the fact that $q^*(x_1^{j-1})^* \neq 0$.

Assume now that R_{f_j} is compressed of socle degree $s-1$ for some j and $R_{f_{jl}}$ is compressed of socle degree $s-2$ for some $l \neq j$. To simplify notation, we assume $j=1$ and $l=2$.

Step 3. Let q be as in Step 2. We now show that $\operatorname{ann}_R(x_1) \not\subseteq \operatorname{ann}_R(q)$. Assume that $\operatorname{ann}_R(x_1) \subseteq \operatorname{ann}_R(q)$. Since R is Gorenstein, we have

$$(q) = \operatorname{ann}_R(\operatorname{ann}_R(q)) \subseteq \operatorname{ann}_R(\operatorname{ann}_R(x_1)) = (x_1)\,,$$

hence $q \in (x_1)$. There exists thus a non-zero element $\overline{h} \in R$ with $h \in Q$ such that $q = x_1 \overline{h}$. Since $v_R(q) = t-1$, we have $v_R(\overline{h}) \leq t-2$.

For each $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ notice that $(x_1 x_i)\overline{h} = 0$. This implies $h X_1 X_i \circ f = 0$ and thus $h \circ f_{1i} = 0$. Set

$$L := \operatorname{ann}_Q(f_{12}, f_{13}, \ldots, f_{1n}).$$

We have thus $h \in L$. We prove that this is a contradiction. To do so, we show

$$h_{Q/L}(j) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_j) \quad \text{for all } j \leq t-2\,. \tag{1.9.2}$$

This equation implies that $L \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{t-1}$, cf. (1.4.2), contradicting the fact that $v_R(\overline{h}) \leq t-2$.

Let $j \leq t-2$. To prove (1.9.2), note that (1.5.1) and (1.5.2) give

$$h_{Q/L}(j) = \operatorname{rank}_k \langle f_{12}, \ldots, f_{1n} \rangle_j$$

and in particular $h_{Q/L}(j) \geq \operatorname{rank}_k \langle f_{12} \rangle_j$. Since $R_{f_{12}}$ is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s-2 = 2(t-1)-2$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}_k \langle f_{12} \rangle_j = h_{Q/\operatorname{ann}_Q(f_{12})}(j) = \operatorname{rank}_k(D_j)$$

hence $h_{Q/L}(j) \geq \operatorname{rank}_k(D_j)$, and this proves (1.9.2), since the reverse inequality always holds.

To finish the proof, let $u = \overline{e} \in \operatorname{ann}_R(x_1) \smallsetminus \operatorname{ann}_R(q)$. We argue that $v_R(u) = t-1$. Notice that $\overline{e} \in \operatorname{ann}_R(x_1)$ if and only if $e \in \operatorname{ann}_Q(f_1)$, as before. Since $R_{f_1} = Q/\operatorname{ann}_Q(f_1)$ is a compressed algebra of socle degree $s-1 = 2(t-1)-1$, we have $\operatorname{ann}_Q(f_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{t-1}$ by Remark 1.7, hence $\overline{e} \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$. Also, note that $u \notin \mathfrak{m}^t$, since $u \in \mathfrak{m}^t$ implies $uq \in \mathfrak{m}^{2t-1} = 0$. Thus $v_R(u) = t-1$. $\square$

1.10. *Open sets of compressed algebras.* If $F \in D$ is a form of degree s we regard it (up to scalars), as an element of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(D_s)$. Iarrobino and Emsalem [10] denote $G(s)$ the subset of forms of degree s for which R_F is a compressed algebra, and prove that $G(s)$ is a Zariski-open nonempty subset of $\mathbb{P}(D_s)$, see [16, Theorem I].

Lemma. *Assume $s \geq 2$. There exists a non-empty open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{P}(D_s)$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the following hold:*

(1) *R_F is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s;*
(2) *R_{F_1} is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s-1$;*
(3) *$R_{F_{12}}$ is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s-2$.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{V} = \{F \in \mathbb{P}(D_s) \mid X_1X_2 \circ F \neq 0\}$; it is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}(D_s)$. Consider $\pi_1 \colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}(D_{s-1})$ given by

$$F \mapsto X_1 \circ F$$

and $\pi_{12} \colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}(D_{s-2})$ given by

$$F \mapsto X_1X_2 \circ F$$

Then π_1 and π_{12} are surjective morphisms. We define

$$\mathcal{U} := \pi_1^{-1}(G(s-1)) \cap \pi_{12}^{-1}(G(s-2)) \cap G(s)$$

Since $G(s)$, $G(s-1)$ and $G(s-2)$ are open and nonempty, so is $\mathcal{U}$. ☐

Corollary 1.11. *Let $R = R_f$ be an Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $s = 2t-2 \geq 2$. Assume that the initial form F of f satisfies $F \in \mathcal{U}$, with $\mathcal{U}$ as in Lemma 1.10. There exists then an element u and for each i there exist elements q_i such that*

(1) *$v_R(q_i) = t-1$ and $q_ix_j = 0$ for all i and all $j \neq i$;*
(2) *$\mathfrak{m}^s = (q_ix_i^{t-1})$ for all i;*
(3) *$v_R(u) = t-1$ and $x_1u = 0$;*
(4) *$\mathfrak{m}^s = (q_1u)$.*

Proof. Recall that R_f is compressed if and only if R_F is compressed. The hypothesis that $F \in \mathcal{U}$ shows that R is compressed of socle degree s, R_{f_1} is compressed of socle degree $s-1$ and $R_{f_{12}}$ is compressed of socle degree $s-2$.

Parts (1) and (3) are then a direct consequence of Proposition 1.9, which also gives $q_1u \neq 0$ and $q_ix_i^{t-1} \neq 0$ for all i. Since q_iu and $q_ix_i^{t-1}$ are in $\mathfrak{m}^s$ and $\mathfrak{m}^s$ is the one-dimensional socle of the Gorenstein ring R, parts (2) and (4) also follow. ☐

2. A GOLOD HOMOMORPHISM

In this section we consider a class of local rings R, subject to the structural conditions listed in 2.3. We construct a homomorphism from a hypersurface ring onto R and we prove in Theorem 2.9 that this map is Golod. Results of Levin give then that the Poincaré series of all finitely generated R-modules are rational.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ denote a local Noetherian ring. We refer to [3] and [14] for details on the notion of DGΓ R-algebra, and we recall below some of the main ingredients.

2.1. DGΓ *algebras and Tate resolutions.* Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a DGΓ R-algebra, with differential ∂. We denote $|b|$ the degree of an element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and set $\overline{b} = (-1)^{|b|}b$. The following then hold for $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$:

(1) The multiplication of $\mathcal{B}$ is graded commutative: $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$.
(2) The differential ∂ satisfies the Leibnitz rule: $\partial(ab) = \partial(a)b + \overline{a}\partial(b)$.
(3) If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ has $|b|$ even, then the divided powers $b^{(j)}$ are defined. They satisfy $|b^{(j)}| = j|b|$ and $\partial(b^{(j)}) = \partial(b)b^{(j-1)}$.

Given a set $\boldsymbol{y}$ of variables Γ with $|y| \geq 1$ for each $y \in \boldsymbol{y}$, we let $R\langle \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$ denote the DGΓ algebra with

$$\mathsf{\Lambda}_*^R\Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{y\in\boldsymbol{y}\\ |y| \text{ odd}}} Ry\Big) \otimes_R \mathsf{\Gamma}_*^R\Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{y\in\boldsymbol{y}\\ |y| \text{ even}}} Ry\Big)$$

as underlying graded algebra and differential defined as to satisfy the formulas in (2) and (3) above; see [3, §6]. The variables with $|y|$ odd are exterior variables (and satisfy thus $y^2 = 0$) and the ones with even homological degrees $|y|$ are divided powers variables.

A Tate resolution of a surjective ring homomorphism $R \to S$ is a quasi-isomorphism $R\langle \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \to S$, where $\boldsymbol{y} = \{y_i\}_{i \geqslant 1}$ and $|y_j| \geq |y_i| \geq 1$ holds for all $j \geq i \geq 1$. Such a resolution always exists: see [14, 1.2.4], or [3, 6.1.4]. Furthermore, when $S = k$, such a resolution can be chosen in such a way that it is minimal, in the sense that $\operatorname{Im}(\partial) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}R\langle \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$, see [3, 6.3.5]; in this case, we call it a *minimal Tate resolution* of k over R.

2.2. Let M be a finitely generated R-module. We denote $R^{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ and $M^{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^i M/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}M$ the associated graded ring, respectively module, with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. For each $i, j \geq 0$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^{j,R}(M)&\colon\ \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^j M, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}M, k)\\ \rho_i^{j,R}(M)&\colon\ \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M/\mathfrak{m}^j N, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}M, k)\end{aligned}$$

to be the maps induced in homology by the inclusion $\mathfrak{m}^j M \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{j-1}M$, respectively the canonical surjection $M/\mathfrak{m}^j M \hookrightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}M$.

According to [20, 3.3], one has:

$$\mu_i^{j,R}(M) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and all } j \geq \operatorname{reg}_{Q^{\mathfrak{g}}}(M^{\mathfrak{g}}) + 1 \tag{2.2.1}$$

where $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ is a regular local ring such that $\widehat{R} \cong Q/I$ with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ is a minimal Cohen presentation of R, and $\operatorname{reg}_{Q^{\mathfrak{g}}}(-)$ denotes Castelnuouvo-Mumford regularity.

When $M = R$, note that $\mu_i^{j,R}(R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ if and only if $\rho_{i+1}^{j,R}(R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. This is due to the canonical isomorphisms $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^j, k) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k)$.

Throughout the remainder of this section, the local rings $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$, $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ and $(P, \mathfrak{p}, k)$ are as described below.

2.3. Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and set $s = 2t - 2$. Let $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ be a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ and $k = Q/\mathfrak{n}$. Let I be an ideal such that

$$\mathfrak{n}^{s+1} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t\,.$$

The local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is defined as $R = Q/I$, with $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}/I$, and we assume that it satisfies the following properties.

(1) $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0$ (note that $\mathfrak{m}^{s+1} = 0$ holds, due to the condition on I).

(2) There exist a minimal generating set $\boldsymbol{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ and elements u and q in R with
$$v_R(u) = v_R(q) = t - 1$$
such that the following hold:
   (a) $qx_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 1$;
   (b) $ux_1 = 0$;
   (c) $\mathfrak{m}^s = (qu)$;
   (d) $\mathfrak{m}^s = (qx_1^{t-1})$.

Let X_1 and U denote preimages of x_1, respectively u, in Q. The local ring $(P, \mathfrak{p}, k)$ is defined by
$$P = Q/(X_1U) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}/(X_1U)$$
Note that $v_Q(U) = t - 1$ and thus $v_Q(X_1U) = t$. Since $x_1u = 0$ in R, we define the canonical projection
$$\varkappa \colon P \to R\,.$$
Our main goal is to prove that $\varkappa$ is a Golod homomorphism.

The ring P is a local hypersurface, and thus a minimal Tate resolution of k over P is well understood see [14]. Let u', respectively x_1', denote the image of U, respectively X_1, in P. Let $\mathcal{D} = P\langle T_1', \dots, T_n', Y_1'\rangle$ be a minimal Tate resolution of k over P, with variables T_i' with $|T_i'| = 1$ and variable Y_1' with $|Y_1'| = 2$ such that:
$$\partial T_1' = x_1' \quad \text{and} \quad \partial Y_1' = u'T_1'\,.$$
Set $\mathcal{A} = R \otimes_P \mathcal{D}$. We identify $\mathcal{A}$ with the DGΓ algebra $\mathcal{A} = R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n, Y_1\rangle$, with
$$\partial T_1 = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial Y_1 = uT_1\,.$$

Remark 2.4. Using 2.2, we have
$$\rho_{i+1}^{j,Q}(Q) = 0 = \mu_i^{j,Q}(Q) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and all } j \geq 1\,.$$
Note that $P^{\mathsf{g}} \cong Q^{\mathsf{g}}/(h)$, where $h = (X_1U)^*$ is the image of X_1U in Q^{g}. Since $v_Q(X_1U) = t$, the homogeneous polynomial h has degree t, and thus $\operatorname{reg}_{Q^{\mathsf{g}}}(P^{\mathsf{g}}) = t - 1$. Consequently:
$$\rho_{i+1}^{j,P}(P) = 0 = \mu_i^{j,P}(P) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0 \text{ and all } j \geq t\,.$$
Furthermore, since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$ and $(X_1U) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$, we have $R/\mathfrak{m}^j = P/\mathfrak{p}^j$ for all $j \leq t$. Since these identifications are canonical, the maps $\rho_i^{t,P}(R)$ and $\rho_i^{t,P}(P)$ can also be identified, and for $j = t$ we have:
$$\rho_{i+1}^{t,P}(R) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0\,. \tag{2.4.1}$$
Similarly, since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$, we have:
$$\rho_{i+1}^{t,Q}(R) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0\,. \tag{2.4.2}$$

Lemma 2.5. *The map*
$$\mathrm{H}_i(\eta) \colon \mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^t\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$$
induced in homology by the canonical surjection $\eta \colon \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^t\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$ *is zero for all* $i > 0$. *Consequently, every element of* $\mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$ *can be represented as* $\operatorname{cls}(z)$ *for a cycle* $z \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{A} = R \otimes_P \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a minimal free resolution of k over P. We can identify then $\operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k)$ with $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A} \otimes_P R/\mathfrak{m}^j)$ and further with $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A})$. The map $\mathrm{H}_i(\eta)$ is thus an avatar of the map $\rho_i^{t,P}(R)$, and then (2.4.1) gives the conclusion. □

The next result plays a crucial role.

Proposition 2.6. *The map*

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\iota)\colon\ \mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$$

induced in homology by the inclusion $\iota\colon \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$ *is zero for all* $i > 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{s+1} = 0$, note that $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{A}$.

Let $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a\}$ denote a subset of elements of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We set

$$T_I = T_{i_1}T_{i_2}\ldots T_{i_a}\,.$$

The divided powers $Y_1^{(j)}$ are defined for $j \geq 0$. Recall that $Y_1^{(0)} = 1$ and we make the convention that $Y_1^{(j)} := 0$ when $j < 0$. A basis of $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all elements $T_IY_1^{(j)}$, where $j \geq 0$, and I ranges over all subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

We need to show: if $c \in \mathfrak{m}^s$, then $cT_IY_1^{(j)}$ is a boundary of $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$ for all $j \geq 0$.

Case 1. $1 \notin I$. In this case, we show that $cT_IY_1^{(j)} \in \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{A})$.

Condition 2.3(2)(d) gives $\mathfrak{m}^s = (qx_1^{t-1})$. We may assume thus that $c = qx_1^{t-1}$. Set $d = x_1^{t-2}$. Using the Leibnitz rule, one has:

$$cT_IY^{(j)} = (qd)x_1T_IY_1^{(j)} = (qd)\partial(T_1)T_IY_1^{(j)} = \partial(qdT_1T_IY_1^{(j)}) + qdT_1\partial(T_IY_1^{(j)})\,.$$

We show next that $qdT_1\partial(T_IY_1^{(j)}) = 0$. Recall that $Y_1^{(-1)} = 0$, by convention. Using the differentiation rules in the last term above, we have:

$$qdT_1\partial(T_IY_1^{(j)}) = \sum_{i_k \in I} \pm qdT_1x_{i_k}T_{I\smallsetminus\{i_k\}}Y_1^{(j)} \pm qdT_1T_I(uT_1Y_1^{(j-1)}) = 0$$

where the first part of the sum is zero because $qx_l = 0$ for all $l \neq 1$ and, when $j > 0$, the second part of the sum is zero because $T_1^2 = 0$.

Note that $qd \in \mathfrak{m}^{2t-3} = \mathfrak{m}^{s-1}$. Consequently:

$$cT_IY_1^{(j)} = \partial(qdT_1T_IY_1^{(j)}) \in \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{A})\,.$$

Case 2. $1 \in I$. In this case, we show that $cT_IY_1^{(j)} \in \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$.

Condition 2.3(2)(c) gives $\mathfrak{m}^s = (qu)$. We may assume thus $c = qu$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} cT_IY_1^{(j)} &= q(uT_1)T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}}Y_1^{(j)} = \pm q(\partial(Y_1)Y_1^{(j)})T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}} = \pm q\partial(Y_1^{(j+1)})T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}} = \\ &= \pm q\partial(Y_1^{(j+1)}T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}}) \pm qY_1^{(j+1)}\partial(T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}}) \end{aligned}$$

where the Leibnitz rule is used in the last equality.

Using the differentiation rules for the last term above, we have:

$$qY_1^{(j+1)}\partial(T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}}) = \sum_{i_k \in I\smallsetminus\{1\}} \pm qx_{i_k}T_{I\smallsetminus\{1,i_k\}}Y_1^{(j+1)} = 0\,.$$

This sum is zero, because $qx_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1$. We have thus:

$$cT_IY_1^{(j)} = \partial(\pm qY_1^{(j+1)}T_{I\smallsetminus\{1\}}) \in \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$$

and this concludes the proof. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the Koszul complex $R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n\rangle$ with $\partial T_i = x_i$, regarded as a subcomplex of $\mathcal{A}$. The following then hold:*

1. $\mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}_n \subseteq \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$*;*
2. *Assume further that R satisfies the conclusions* (1)-(2) *of Corollary* 1.11*. Then $\mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}_i \subseteq \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{K})$ for all $i < n$.*

Proof. Part (1) is an immediate consequence of the Proposition.

For part (2) we need to rewrite Case 1 of the proof, along the same ideas. We need to show: If $c \in \mathfrak{m}^s$, then $cT_I \in \mathcal{K}_{<n}$ is a boundary of $\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{K}$. Since $cT_I \in \mathcal{K}_{<n}$, we have $I \neq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and thus there exists i such that $i \notin I$. Conclusion (2) of Corollary 1.11 gives $\mathfrak{m}^s = (q_i x_i^{t-1})$. We may assume thus that $c = q_i x_i^{t-1}$. Set $d_i = x_i^{t-2}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Case 1, with $j = 0$, we obtain $cT_I = \partial(\pm q_i d_i T_i T_I) \in \partial(\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{K})$. □

2.8. *Golod maps and Massey operations.* Let $\boldsymbol{h}$ denote a homogeneous basis of the graded k-vector space $\mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$. According to Gulliksen [13], the homomorphism $\varkappa : P \to R$ is said to be *Golod* (or $\mathcal{A}$ admits a *trivial Massey operation)* if there is a function μ: $\bigsqcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{h}^m \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfying:

$$\mu(h) \text{ is a cycle in the homology class of } h \text{ for each } h \in \boldsymbol{h}\,; \tag{2.8.1}$$

$$\partial\mu(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \overline{\mu(h_1, \dots, h_i)}\mu(h_{i+1}, \dots, h_m) \quad \text{for each } m \geq 2\,; \tag{2.8.2}$$

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{h}^m) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{A} \quad \text{for each } m \geq 1\,. \tag{2.8.3}$$

The main result of the section is as follows:

Theorem 2.9. *The map $\varkappa$: $P \to R$ defined in* 2.3 *is Golod. Furthermore, the equations* (2.8.1)–(2.8.3) *can be verified with a function μ such that* $\mathrm{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$*.*

Corollary 2.10. *If R is as in* 2.3*, then there exists a polynomial $d_R(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that for every finitely generated R-module M there exists a polynomial $p_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with*

$$\mathrm{P}_M^R(z) d_R(z) = p_M(z)$$

and such that $p_k(z) = (1 + z)^n$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, R is a homomorphic image of a hypersurface ring via a Golod homomorphism. The desired conclusion follows then from results of Levin cf. [2, Proposition 5.18]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.9*.* We construct a Massey operation μ as in 2.8 inductively on $m \geq 1$. Lemma 2.5 gives that for each $\mathrm{cls}(x) \in \mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$ we can choose a representative in $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$, hence (2.8.1) is verified. If x_1, x_2 are such representatives of two elements of $\mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$, then $x_1x_2 \in \mathfrak{m}^{2t-2}\mathcal{A}$. Recall that $s = 2t - 2$. Hence $x_1x_2 \in \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{A}$. Proposition 2.6 gives that $x_1x_2 = \partial(x)$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$.

Thus for any two classes $\mathrm{cls}(x_1)$ and $\mathrm{cls}(x_2)$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$ one can pick representatives x_1, x_2 in $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$ such that x_1x_2 is the boundary of an element in $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$. This property allows for an inductive definition of the Massey operations. In addition, this construction gives that $\mathrm{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$. □

If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a local ring and M is a finitely generated R-module, we set $\beta_i^R(M) = \mathrm{rank}_k(\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, k))$. The Poincaré series of M over R is the formal power series

$$\mathrm{P}^R_M(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \beta^R_i(M) z^i \,.$$

2.11. *Change of rings formula.* Let R and P be as in 2.3. The function μ constructed above allows one to describe the minimal free resolution of k over R, see [13, Prop. 1], showing that the following formula holds:

$$\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^P_k(z)}{1 - z(\mathrm{P}^P_R(z) - 1)} \,. \tag{2.11.1}$$

3. Generic Artinian Gorenstein algebras

In this section we establish a formula for the polynomial $d_R(z)$ of Corollary 2.10, in the main case of interest. We use the notation in Section 1. Recall that D denotes the divided powers k-algebra in n variables, and each Artinian Gorenstein algebra $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$ of socle degree s is in correspondence with a polynomial $f \in D$ of degree s, whose leading form $F \in D_s$ can be thought of, up to multiplication by scalars, as an element of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(D_s)$.

In the statements of most results of this section, the assumptions are as follows:

3.1. The integer s is even, with $s = 2t - 2 \geq 2$, and R is a *generic Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s and embedding dimension n*, meaning the following: $R = R_f$ for some $f \in D$ with $\deg(f) = s$ such that the initial form F of f satisfies $F \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the open set of Lemma 1.10.

Under these assumptions, Corollary 1.11 shows that the conditions of 2.3 are satisfied, and we can further use the notation and results of Section 2. In particular, the conclusions of Corollary 2.10 hold.

Recall from the introduction that $H_R(z)$ denotes the Hilbert series of R:

$$H_R(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}) z^i \,.$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of R.

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let n, s be integers such that $s = 2t - 2 \geq 2$ is even. Let R be a generic Artinian Gorenstein algebra of socle degree s and embedding dimension n. For every finitely generated R-module M there exists a polynomial $p_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that*

$$\mathrm{P}^M_R(z) = \frac{p_M(z)}{d_R(z)} \,,$$

where

$$d_R(z) = 1 + z^{n+2} + (-z)^{-t+2} \cdot \big(H_R(-z) \cdot (1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\big)$$

is a polynomial of degree $n+2$, depending only on n and s. Furthermore,

$$p_k(z) = (1+z)^n \,.$$

In view of Corollary 2.10, we only need to prove the formula for $d_R(z)$. This is postponed to the end of the section.

Remark 3.3. When $s = t = 2$, the formula for $d_R(z)$ becomes

$$d_R(z) = H_R(-z) \cdot (1+z)^n \,.$$

The result of the Theorem is subsumed, in this case, by the results of Sjödin [22], cf. also Avramov *et. al.* [4]. In this case the conclusions of the Theorem hold for any Gorenstein ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = n$ and $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$. (For such rings, one can also argue that the the conclusions of Corollary 1.11 are satisfied, up to a change of variable, when k is algebraically closed). An additional generic condition on R is thus not needed in this case.

Corollary 3.4. $\mathrm{P}_k^R(z) = \mathrm{P}_k^{R^{\mathrm{g}}}(z)$.

Proof. If $R = R_f$ is as in 3.1, then $R^{\mathrm{g}} = R_F$ also satisfies the conditions of 3.1. By definition, we have $H_R(z) = H_{R^{\mathrm{g}}}(z)$. Theorem 3.2 shows thus that the same formula holds for both $\mathrm{P}_k^R(z)$ and $\mathrm{P}_k^{R^{\mathrm{g}}}(z)$. □

Remark 3.5. The Poincaré series of Gorenstein local rings of embedding dimension $n \le 4$ have been classified in [1]. According to this classification, the generic Gorenstein rings of even socle degree and embedding dimension 4 are of type GGO.

3.6. *Change of rings and Eisenbud operators.* Let P be as in 2.3. Recall that $P = Q/(h)$ with $h = X_1U \in \mathfrak{n}^t \smallsetminus \mathfrak{n}^{t-1}$. Let M be a finitely generated P-module. Let φ_i^M denote the map

$$\varphi_i^M \colon \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(M,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(M,k)$$

induced by the projection $Q \to P$. A free resolution of M over P can be constructed as in [3, Theorem 3.1.3] from a minimal free resolution of M over Q; this construction is due to Shamash [21]. If $h \in \mathfrak{n}\operatorname{ann}_Q(M)$, then this resolution is minimal, see [3, Remark 3.3.5], and it follows that φ_i^M is injective for all i. In particular, if $\mathfrak{n}^{t-1}M = 0$, then φ_i^M is injective for all i.

As explained in the proof of [3, 3.2.2], the construction of Shamash leads to the definition of maps χ_i^M which fit into an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^P(M,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(M,k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i^M} \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(M,k) \xrightarrow{\chi_i^M} \operatorname{Tor}_{i-2}^P(M,k) \to$$
$$\to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^Q(M,k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i-1}^M} \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^P(M,k) \to \dots$$

The map χ_i^M can also be understood as given by the action of the *Eisenbud operator*, in the particular case of a hypersurface, see [3, Construction 9.1.1]. Eisenbud operators are natural in the module arguments and they commute with connecting maps induced by short exact sequences, cf. [3, Proposition 9.1.3].

Proposition 3.7. *If R is as in* 3.1*, then the following hold:*

(1) $\varphi_i^R = 0$ *for all* $i \ge n$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}_k(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_n^R) = 1$*;*
(2) φ_i^R *is injective for* $1 < i < n$ *and for* $i = 0$*;*
(3) φ_1^R *is surjective and* $\operatorname{rank}_k(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_1^R) = 1$.

Proof. We use the notation as in Section 2: $\mathcal{A} = R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n, Y_1\rangle$ with $\partial T_i = x_i$ and $\partial Y_1 = uT_1$, and $\mathcal{K} = R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n\rangle$. We can compute homology as

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R,k) = \mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R,k) = \mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{K})$$

The map φ_i^R can be realized as the map $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathrm{H}_i(\mathcal{A})$ induced in homology by the inclusion of complexes $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

(1) Since $\operatorname{pd}_Q k = n$, we have $\operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R,k) = 0$ for all $i > n$. We only need to consider thus the case $i = n$. Note that $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathcal{K}) = (0 : \mathfrak{m})\mathcal{K}_n = \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}_n$. Corollary 2.7(1) shows that every element of $\mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}_n$ is a boundary of $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$. It follows that the map $\varphi_n^R\colon \mathrm{H}_n(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathrm{H}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is zero. We have thus $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi_n^R) = \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}_n \cong \mathfrak{m}^s$. Since R is Gorenstein, its socle $\mathfrak{m}^s$ is one-dimensional, and thus $\operatorname{rank}_k(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_n^R) = 1$.

(2) Obviously, φ_0^R is an isomorphism. If $i > 0$, then 2.4 shows that

$$\rho_i^{t,Q}(R) = \rho_i^{t,P}(R) = 0\,.$$

In particular, it follows that the maps

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k) \quad\text{and}\quad \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k)$$

induced by the canonical projection $R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ are zero, since these maps factor through $\rho_i^{t,P}(R)$, respectively $\rho_i^{t,Q}(R)$.

Set $\overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$. Note that $\mathfrak{n}^{t-1}\overline{R} = 0$, hence $\varphi_i^{\overline{R}}$ is injective for all i, as discussed in 3.6. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}^{t-1} \to R \to \overline{R} \to 0$$

and write the long exact sequences induced by applying $-\otimes_P k$, respectively $-\otimes_Q k$. Using the fact that the Eisenbud operator is natural in the module arguments, and commutes with connecting homomorphisms, we have a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns for $i > 1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^Q(\overline{R},k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_i^{\overline{R}}"] & \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}"] & \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R,k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_i^R"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^P(\overline{R},k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\chi_{i+1}^{\overline{R}}"] & \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\chi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}"] & \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R,k) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^P(\overline{R},k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Tor}_{i-2}^P(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k) & & \\
 & 0 & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A use of the snake lemma in this commutative diagram shows that if $\varphi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}$ and $\varphi_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}$ are injective, then φ_i^R is injective. To prove that φ_i^R is injective for all $1 < i < n$, it suffices to show that $\varphi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}$ is injective for all $0 \le i < n$.

Let $0 \le i < n$. We realize $\varphi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}$ as the map

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}) \to \mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A})$$

induced in homology by the inclusion of complexes $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$.

Assume that $a \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}_i$ satisfies $a = \partial(b)$ with $b \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$. Set $Y = Y_1$. The divided powers $1, Y, Y^{(2)}, \dots$ form a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{K}$, and we write

$$b = c_0 + c_1Y + c_2Y^{(2)} + \dots$$

with $c_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}$. Applying the properties of the differential, we obtain:

$$a = \partial(b) = \partial(c_0) \pm c_1\partial(Y) + d_1Y + d_2Y^{(2)} + \dots$$

with $d_i \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $d_i = 0$ for all i and

$$a = \partial(c_0) \pm c_1\partial(Y)\,.$$

We have $\partial(Y) = uT_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}$ and $c_1 \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}$, hence $c_1\partial(Y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{2t-2}\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{m}^s\mathcal{K}$. By Corollary 2.7(2), we have that $c_1\partial(Y) = \partial(e)$ for some $e \in \mathfrak{m}^{s-1}\mathcal{K}$. Hence $a = \partial(c_0 \pm e)$ with $c_0 \pm e \in \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{K}$ and thus $\varphi_i^{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}$ is injective.

(3) The map φ_1^R can be identified with the canonical map

$$I/\mathfrak{n}I \to I/(\mathfrak{n}I, X_1U)$$

and the conclusion follows immediately. □

In the case of standard graded algebras, the Poincaré series of a finitely generated graded module is defined the same way as in the local case. If $N = \oplus N_i$ is a graded R^{g}-module and j is an integer, then the notation $N(-j)$ stands for the graded module whose ith graded component is N_{i+j}.

It is known that the compressed graded algebra R^{g} of even socle degree has an *almost linear* graded free resolution over the polynomial ring Q^{g}, see Iarrobino [16, 4.7]. Consequently, we can compute below the Poincaré series of R^{g} as a Q^{g}-module.

Lemma 3.8. *If R is a compressed Artinian Gorenstein algebra of even socle degree $s = 2t-2$ and embedding dimension n, then*

$$\mathrm{P}_R^Q(z) = 1 + z^n + (-z)^{-t+1} \cdot \left(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\right)\,.$$

Proof. Recall that R^{g} is a compressed algebra of even socle degree. Set $A = Q^{\mathrm{g}}$ and set $\beta_i = \beta_i^A(R^{\mathrm{g}})$. According to [16, 4.7], a graded minimal free resolution of R^{g} over A is as follows:

$$0 \to A(-n-s) \to A^{\beta_{n-1}}(-n-t+2) \to \cdots \to A^{\beta_1}(-t) \to A \to R^{\mathrm{g}} \to 0 \tag{3.8.1}$$

where the ith term of the free resolution is $A^{\beta_i}(-i-t+1)$ for $0 < i < n$.

Note that $H_Q(z)$, respectively $H_R(z)$, can be viewed as the graded Hilbert series of A, respectively R^{g}:

$$H_Q(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0}(\operatorname{rank}_k A_i)z^i \quad \text{and} \quad H_R(z) = \sum_{i\geq 0}(\operatorname{rank}_k (R^{\mathrm{g}})_i)z^i\,.$$

The graded Hilbert series can be computed from (3.8.1) and it gives the following:

$$H_R(z) = H_Q(z)(1 - \beta_1 z^t + \beta_2 z^{t+1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1}\beta_{n-1}z^{t+n-2} + (-1)^n z^{s+n})\,.$$

We further obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{R^{\mathrm{g}}}^A(-z) &= 1 - \beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2 + \cdots + (-1)^n\beta_n z^n\\
&= z^{-t+1}\left(1 - \beta_1 z^t + \beta_2 z^{t+1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1}\beta_{n-1}z^{t+n-2} + (-1)^n z^{s+n}\right) +\\
&\qquad + 1 + (-z)^n - z^{-t+1}\cdot(1 + (-1)^n z^{s+n})\\
&= z^{-t+1}\cdot H_R(z)\cdot(1-z)^n + 1 + (-z)^n - z^{-t+1}\cdot(1+(-1)^n z^{s+n})\\
&= 1 + (-z)^n + z^{-t+1}\cdot\left(H_R(z)\cdot(1-z)^n - 1 - (-1)^n z^{s+n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses the fact that $\beta_n = 1$, and the third equality uses the equation above and the fact that $H_Q(z) = (1-z)^{-n}$. We have thus

$$\mathrm{P}_{R^{\mathrm{g}}}^A(z) = 1 + z^n + (-z)^{-t+1}\cdot\left(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\right)\,.$$

Finally, the fact that the graded resolution of R^{g} over A is pure gives that $\mathrm{P}_{R^{\mathrm{g}}}^{Q^{\mathrm{g}}}(z) = \mathrm{P}_R^Q(z)$, see Fröberg [11, Theorem 1]. □

We are now ready to prove the formula for $d_R(z)$ in Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We set

$$b_i = \operatorname{rank}_k(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_i^R) + \operatorname{rank}_k(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{i-1}^R) \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = \sum_i b_i z^i\,.$$

A rank count in the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^P(R,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^Q(R,k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i^R} \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(R,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-2}^P(R,k) \to$$
$$\to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^Q(R,k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i-1}^R} \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^P(R,k) \to \dots$$

gives

$$\beta_i^P(R) - \beta_{i-2}^P(R) = \beta_i^Q(R) - b_i \quad \text{for all } i, \tag{3.8.2}$$

which yields :

$$\sum_{i\geq 0}\beta_i^P(R)z^i - z^2\sum_{i\geq 0}\beta_{i-2}^P(R)z^{i-2} = \sum_{i\geq 0}\beta_i^P(R)z^i - \sum_{i\geq 0} b_i z^i$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{P}_R^P(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}_R^Q(z) - B(z)}{1-z^2}\,. \tag{3.8.3}$$

Combining (3.8.3) and the formula of Lemma 3.8, we have:

$$\mathrm{P}_R^P(z) = \frac{1 + z^n + (-z)^{-t+1}\cdot(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}) - B(z)}{1-z^2}$$

Now recall the change of rings formula (2.11.1):

$$\mathrm{P}_k^R(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}_k^P(z)}{1 - z(\mathrm{P}_R^P(z) - 1)}\,.$$

The minimal free resolution $\mathcal{D}$ of k over the hypersurface P is known, and gives

$$\mathrm{P}_k^P(z) = \frac{(1+z)^n}{1-z^2}\,.$$

Also, recall from Corollary 2.10 that

$$d_R(z) = (1+z)^n\cdot\left(\mathrm{P}_k^R(z)\right)^{-1}\,.$$

Putting together all these formulas and simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_R(z) &= (1+z)^n\cdot\left(\mathrm{P}_k^R(z)\right)^{-1} = (1-z^2)\cdot\left(1 + z - z\cdot\mathrm{P}_R^P(z)\right)\\
&= (-z)^{-t+2}\cdot\left(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\right) - z\cdot(1+z^n) +\\
&\qquad - z\cdot B(z) + (1-z^2)(1+z)\\
&= 1 + z^{n+2} + (-z)^{-t+2}\cdot\left(H_R(-z)\cdot(1+z)^n - 1 - z^{s+n}\right) -\\
&\qquad - z\cdot\left(B(z) - z - z^2 - z^n - z^{n+1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the computation, we need to show $B(z) = z + z^2 + z^n + z^{n+1}$. Proposition 3.7 gives the following information:

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 1, n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and the desired formula is then an immediate consequence.

Since R is a compressed Gorenstein algebra, $H_R(z)$ is known, see (1.6.1), and it is a polynomial depending only on n and on s. Since $\operatorname{pd}_Q(R) = n$, we know that $\mathrm{P}^Q_R(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n. Formula (3.8.3) shows then that the polynomial $(1-z^2)\,\mathrm{P}^P_R(z)$ has degree $n+1$. Since $d_R(z) = (1-z^2)\cdot\big(1+z-z\cdot\mathrm{P}^P_R(z)\big)$, it follows that $d_R(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n+2$. $\square$

Acknowledgment

We thank Luchezar Avramov for suggestions that improved the exposition. We also acknowledge Grayson and Stillman's computer algebra system Macaulay2, that guided us in the initial stages of this work.

References

[1] L. L. Avramov, *Homological asymptotics of modules over local rings*, Commutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **15**, Springer, New York, 1989; 33-63.

[2] L. L. Avramov, A. R Kustin, M. Miller, *Poincaré series of modules over local rings of small embedding codepth or small linking number*, J. Algebra **118** (1988); 162–204.

[3] L. L. Avramov, *Infinite free resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progress in Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998; 1–118.

[4] L. L. Avramov, S. Iyengar, L. M. Şega, *Free resolutions over short local rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **78** (2008); 459–476.

[5] R. Bøgvad, *Gorenstein rings with transcendental Poincaré series*, Math. Scand. **53** (1983); 5–15.

[6] A. Conca, *Gröbner bases for spaces of quadrics of low codimension*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **24** (2000); 111–124.

[7] A. Conca, M.E. Rossi, G. Valla, *Gröbner flags and Gorenstein Artin rings*, Compositio Math. **129** (2001); 95–121.

[8] J. Elias, M.E. Rossi, *Analytic Isomorphisms of compressed local algebras*, preprint (2012), arXiv:1207.6919

[9] J. Emsalem, *Géométrie des points épais*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978); 399–416.

[10] J. Emsalem, A. Iarrobino, *Some zero-dimensional generic singularities; finite algebras having small tangent space*, Compositio Math. **36** (1978); 145–188.

[11] R. Fröberg, *Connections between a Local Ring and Its Associated Graded Ring*, J. Algebra **111** (1987); 300-305.

[12] R. Fröberg, C. Löfwal, *Koszul homology and Lie algebras with application to generic forms and points*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **4** (2002); 227–258.

[13] T. H. Gulliksen, *Massey operations and Poincaré series of certain local rings*, J. Algebra **22** (1970); 223–232.

[14] T. H. Gulliksen, G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Papers Pure Appl. Math. **20**, Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON (1969).

[15] I. B. Henriques and L. M. Şega, *Free resolutions over short Gorenstein local rings*, Math. Z. **267** (2011); 645–663,

[16] A. Iarrobino, *Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984); 337–378.

[17] A. Iarrobino, *Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1994); viii–115.

[18] A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes Math., vol. 1721, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[19] C. Jacobsson, A. R. Kustin, M. Miller *The Poincaré series of a codimension four Gorenstein ring is rational*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **38** (1985); 255–275.

[20] L. M. Şega, *Homological properties of powers of the maximal ideal*, J. Algebra **241** (2001); 827–858.

[21] J. Shamash, *The Poincaré series of a local ring*, J. Algebra **12** (1969); 453–470.

[22] G. Sjödin, *The Poincaré series of modules over Gorenstein rings with* $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$, Mathematiska Institutionen, Stockholms Universitet, Preprint **2** (1979).

MARIA EVELINA ROSSI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OF GENOA, VIA DODECANESO 35, 16146-GENOVA, ITALY
E-mail address: `rossim@dima.unige.it`

LIANA M. ŞEGA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, KANSAS CITY, MO 64110, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `segal@umkc.edu`